

# CLASSIFICATION



Australian Government

Department of Defence

Defence Science and Technology Group

# Trusted Human-Synthetic Autonomy Partnership

Dr Glen A Smith

Group Lead, Command Intent STC

Decision Science

JOAD

ISTAS 16, Barossa Valley, May 2016

**DST**  
GROUP

Science and Technology for Safeguarding Australia

# Trust, autonomy, partnership: Research needed (1)

- Why research Trusted Autonomous Partnerships (TAPs)?
  - Because the end goal is TAPs working in real situations: and we don't know how to achieve this.

## Elements involved

**1. Synauts-** assessable capabilities

**2. Humans:**

- What do we understand by autonomy
- What makes us trust autonomy appropriately as partners

**3. Human\_Autonomy Teams** – best division of effort

**4. Socio-legal:** responsibility



# Trust, autonomy, partnership: Research needed (1)

What's a good outcome from TAPs research?

- Essential: Humans on Top, Autonomy on Tap
- Synauts design specifications
  - What creates acceptable trust by humans in synauts
- Synaut certification process that satisfies humans
- Workload sharing specified (effective teams)
- Trust inherent (designed in) to synauts through Mutual Mental Models & Social Rules
- Minimal human retraining to work in mixed teams



## Current Tyche Theme three projects

- Legal and cognitive aspects (more than Article 36)
- Human-Autonomy Teams (with USA Airforce)
- Organisational re-jigging to incorporate Mixed Teams
- Cognition for Uncertainty (coming)



# Presentations

## Keynote

- Dr David Aha, Naval Research Labs (USA)

## Presentation 1

- Professor Janet Wiles, University of Queensland

## Presentation 2

- Australian position: Dr Glen Smith, DST Group
- USA position Dr Peter Friedland, AFSOR

<refreshment>

- Q & A for session

